

**EVALUATION OF CARRAGEENAN INDUCED ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY
OF LINGA CHENDURAM IN WISTAR ALBINO RAT**Aruljothi R.*¹ and Thiruthani M.²¹PG Scholar, Department of Nanju Noolum Maruthuva Neethi Noolum, (Siddha Toxicology) Govt. Siddha Medical College, Palayamkottai, Tamilnadu, India.²HOD Department of Nanju Noolum Maruthuva Neethi Noolum, (Siddha Toxicology) Govt. Siddha Medical College, Palayamkottai, Tamilnadu, India.***Corresponding Author: Dr. Aruljothi R.**

PG Scholar, Department of Nanju Noolum Maruthuva Neethi Noolum, (Siddha Toxicology) Govt. Siddha Medical College, Palayamkottai, Tamilnadu, India.

Article Received on 16/10/2018

Article Revised on 06/11/2018

Article Accepted on 27/11/2018

ABSTARCT

The aim of the present study was to explore the probable anti-inflammatory activity of Linga Chenduram using Carrageenan induced inflammation in the rat.

KEYWORDS: Linga Chenduram, Anti-inflammatory, Carrageenan.**INTRODUCTION**

Siddha Medicine is the oldest and the foremost of all other medical systems of the world originated in South India. Siddha Medicine classified a human being life in to three phases and they are Vatham, Pitham and Kabam in Childhood, Adulthood and Old age as the physiological components of the human beings which is a reverse process. According to the siddha medicine system, diet and life style of a person play a prominent role in healthy life and also in curing diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study, Herbo Metallic preparation Linga Chenduram has been selected to establish its Anti Inflammatory Activity status from the classical siddha literature. The ingredients of linga chenduram are four in number. They are purified of lingam, Thirugukallipal, Utthamanipoo and Vellaierukampoo.

Experimental Aniamls

Wistar albino rats (180 – 200 gm) of either sex were used for the study. The animals were obtained from animal house, Nandha College of Pharmacy, Erode. The animals were placed at random and allocated to treatment groups in polypropylene cages with paddy husk as bedding. Animals were housed at a temperature of 24±2°C and relative humidity of 30 – 70%. A 12:12 light: dark day cycle was followed. All animals were allowed to free access to water and fed with standard commercial pelleted rat chaw (M/s. Hindustan Lever Ltd, Mumbai).

ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY**Carrageenan-Induced Rat Paw Edema** (Winter *et al.*, 1962)

Albino Wistar rats were used in the study, and the rats were divided into three groups of 5 each. Group I served as control received the vehicle (distilled water, 1ml/kg). Groups II served as reference control, administered with Indomethacin (10mg/kg). Group III animals were treated with 6 mg/kg of Linga Chenduram. The test drugs were administered orally using gastric gavages tubes by dissolving in distilled water.

After 30 minutes, acute inflammation was produced in the right hindpaw of each rat by sub plantar injection of 0.05ml freshly prepared carrageenan suspension (1%) in normal saline. The volumes of the oedematous paws were measured using Plethysmometer following oral administration of the test drugs, 0 min (before carrageenan injection) and at every 30 min intervals for 2 h. Oedema was expressed as the increment in paw thickness due to carrageenan administration. The percentage of anti-inflammatory activity was calculated using the formula given below:

$$\text{Percentage Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Control} - \text{Test}}{\text{Control}} \times 100$$

RESULT**Table 1: Anti-inflammatory activity of Linga Chenduram (6mg/kg) against Carrageenan induced Paw Oedema in rats.**

Drug Treatment	Thickness of Rat Paw (mm)				
	0 hr	30 min	60 min	90 min	120 min
Control Distilled Water (1ml/kg)	9.16±0.25	10.84±0.35	12.63±0.28	14.42±0.62	16.53±1.12
Reference Control Indomethacin(10mg/kg)	8.72±0.61	9.40±0.26 (59.52%)	9.48±0.13* (78.09%)	9.52±0.41** (84.79%)	8.73±0.61*** (99.86%)
<i>Linga Chenduram</i> (6 mg/kg)	8.09±0.12	9.60±0.29 (10.11%)	11.18±0.23 (10.95%)	12.05±0.93* (24.71%)	9.12±0.60*** (86.02%)

Percentage Inhibition was given in Parentheses

Values are in mean ± SEM (n=5)

*P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 Vs Control

DISCUSSION

Anti-inflammatory activity of Linga Chenduram (6mg/kg) was studied against Carrageenan induced paw oedema in rats and the results were shown in Table 1. Rat paw thickness and the percentage inhibition was measured after drug administration. After the *Linga Chenduram* administration, upto 60 minutes it didn't show significant anti-inflammatory activity. After 90 and 120 minutes of *Linga Chenduram* administration, it showed significant (P<0.05 and P<0.001, respectively) anti-inflammatory activity against carrageenan induced inflammation in rats.

CONCLUSION

From the above study it can be suggested that the Linga Chenduram promising Anti-inflammatory Activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank The Vice Chancellor, The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Gunidy, Chennai and to Indian Medicine And Homeopathy Department Arumbakkam, Chennai and specially thank to Principal, Government Siddha Medical college Palayamkottai.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents (Late) Dr.A.M.Raj H.M.P, R.JeyaMary and my brothers R.Thopiyas, R.Victor Babu, R.Xavier Terence for their understandings and supports towards me for completeing this report.

REFERENCES

1. Anupoga vaithya navanetham, Hakim Pa.Mu. Abdullah Saibu 4th Volume, 1995 October edition,
2. Gunapadam Thathu Jeeva Vaguppu – Dr.R. Thiyagarajan, BIM 8th edition 2013.
3. Gunapadam Mooligai Vaguppu Dr.S. Murugaesa Mudhaliyar-9th edition 2013.
4. Sattai Muni Nigandu – S.P. Ramachandran -1992.
5. Agathiyar Vaithya Kaviyam – 1500 – S.P. Rmaachandran, 1992.
6. Agathiyar Paripooranam – 400 – R.C.Mohan, 2012.
7. Pathaartha Guna Sinthamani – Dr. Anaivari Anantham, 2007.

8. Bogar 7000 –P.Ramachandran, 1992.
9. Yaakopu Vaidhyam -300 – S.P.Ramachandran, 2000.
10. Winter CA, Risley EA, and Nuss GW. Carrageenin induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for antiinflammatory drugs. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine. Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, 1962; 111: 544–547.