INFECTIVE ENDOCARDITIS IN DOGS: A REVIEW
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INTRODUCTION
Endocarditis has been defined as exudative and proliferative inflammatory alterations of the endocardium, characterized by the presence of vegetations on the surface of the endocardium or in the endocardium itself, and most commonly involving the heart valves, but also affecting the inner lining of the cardiac chambers or the endocardium elsewhere (Blood et al., 2007). Bacterial endocarditis is an infection of the valvular and or mural endocardium and it may have both cardiac and extra-cardiac sequelae (Brown, 2004). The term, Bacterial Endocarditis (BE) has been replaced with Infectious Endocarditis (IE) since non bacterial isolates have been incriminated in its pathogenesis. BE refers to endocarditis that is caused by infection with various bacteria. Endocarditis (both the term and the disease) is pathophysiologically and epidemiologically unrelated to the most common form of chronic valvular heart disease in dogs known as endocardiosis (Bruce, 2002). Endocardiosis of which there is no specific etiology is characterized by chronic fibrosis and nodular thickening of the free edges of the valvoventricular valves (Blood et al., 2007). IE is an infection of the valvular or mural endocardium with microbe, which may have both cardiac and extracardiac sequelae. (Valerie, 2004) It is a disease that commonly occurs in dogs. The mitral and aortic valves are the worst affected. Common causative microbial agents include Staphylococcus spp, Streptococcus spp, Escherichia coli, and Bartonella spp. Congestive heart failure, immune-mediated disease, and thromboembolism are the major complications of IE. Diagnosis of IE by echocardiography and long-term treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics may contribute to the timely detection and treatment of the disease.

EPIDEMIOLOGY
The epidemiology of endocarditis in companion animals has not been extensively studied (Bruce, 2002). However, difficulty in diagnosis and underreporting of IE in dogs contribute to the reported low prevalence rate of the disease. This disease is relatively uncommon, occasionally observed in dogs but rarely in cats (Larry and John, 2001).

IE is one of the more significant of the endocardial alterations with increased occurrence in middle-aged, large-bred, male dogs are most often affected. Pure-bred dogs are more affected (Maark, 2013).

PATHOGENESIS AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
Based on experimental studies, the following factors have been suggested to be important in the pathogenesis of IE (Larry and John, 2001).
1. Endocardial damage (which may result from valvular insufficiency, stenosis, or a stunting lesion)
2. Activation of clotting factors
3. Bacteremia and colonization of a noninfective thrombus

It is believed that endothelial damage must be present for infective endocarditis to develop. It is virtually impossible to induce endocarditis in experimental animals unless the valvular endocardium is first traumatized with a polyethylene catheter inserted into the right or left side of the heart (Garrison and Freedman, 1970). High velocity regurgitant vortices and jets from turbulent blood flow may mechanically damage the endocardium (Woodfield and Sisson, 1989; Kasari and Roussel, 1989). The resultant exposure of underlying collagen activates platelet aggregation and the coagulation cascade, and formation of platelet-fibrin matrix. Deposition of fibrin and platelets, is a part of the normal healing process which forms a non-infective thrombus (Yok-Ai and Philippe, 2011). The development of such noninfectious thrombus is the first step in the establishment of infectious endocarditis. Episodes of bacteremia can result in the colonization process that result in infection of the thrombus and the initiation of the process that results in distortion and destruction of the valve leaflets and their associated structures (Larry and John, 2001). The valve colonizing organisms may originate from disrupted oral, gastrointestinal, or urogenital mucosal surfaces, or from any other localized
source of infection (Calvert, 1982; Anderson and Dubielzig, 1984). The manner by which bacteria localize on a valve is not completely clear. The production extracellular polysaccharides, such as dextran by some bacteria, has been shown to be important in their adherence to the constituents of non-bacterial thrombotic endocarditis and may play a role in the pathogenesis of bacterial endocarditis (Michael et al., 1977; Anderson, 1984; Kasari and Roussel, 1989). Also, the contribution of gelatinase to the pathogenesis of endocarditis caused by Enterococcus faecalis in rabbit models have been shown (Lance et al., 2010). Subacute and chronic bacteremia often followed intregumentary infections such as abscesses, cellulitis, and infected wounds and was usually the result of gram-positive microbes. Pera cutaneous and acute bacteremia was associated with internal infections and was usually the result of E. coli. (Calvert et al., 1985).

**BACTERIAL ISOLATES**

The most common isolates include Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, β-hemolytic streptococci, Corynebacterium spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Erysipelothrix rhustopathiae (Calvert, 1982; O’Grady, 2000). It has been speculated that these organisms may originate from disrupted oral, gastrointestinal or urogenital mucosal surfaces or from other sources of infection (Calvert, 1982; Anderson and Dubielzig, 1984). The primary difference between the types of organisms isolated in humans and dogs with infective endocarditis is that dogs have a higher incidence of gram negative infections. In four studies, Staphylococcus aureus accounted for approximately 25% of the organisms isolated, hemolytic and non-hemolytic Streptococci for 20% and Escherichia coli for 25%. Corynebacterium was isolated in 10% and Pseudomonas in 6%. Erysipelothrix sp. accounted for 3% of the cases (Mark, 2013). This unusual organism has been described elsewhere and has recently been determined to be E. tonsillarum, not E. rhustopathiae, the swine pathogen. Bartonella vinsonii, a rickettsial organism, has been reported in dogs with culture negative vegetative endocarditis. PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism, sequence and phylogenetic analyses have identified Bartonella rochalimae involvement in endocarditis in dogs (Jennifer, 2009). A novel Bartonella species, Bartonella vinsonii subsp. berkoffii subsp. nov which can induce endocarditis in dogs, was reported by Edward et al. (1995) using PCR DNA amplification, DNA hybridization and sequencing. Similar techniques were also used by Patrick et al. (2006) to identify Bartonella Quintana as an isolate also associated with endocarditis in dogs. Nosocomial cases involving Pseudomonas sp., Proteus sp., or other unusual (and often highly antibiotic resistant) isolates as well as anaerobic bacteria (e.g., Bacteroides sp.) also occasionally cause infective endocarditis (Dow, 1988).

**NON BACTERIA ISOLATES**

Candida albicans, is associated with endocarditis in IV drug users and immunocompromised patients (Badley et al., 2008). Histoplasma capsulatum and Aspergillus are other fungi demonstrated to cause endocarditis(Lamas and Eykyn, 2003). Endocarditis with Tricosporon asahii has also been reported in a case report (Izumi et al., 2009).

**PREDISPOSING FACTORS**

Predisposing factors for canine infective endocarditis include congenital aortic valve disease and probably other congenital heart diseases that cause disturbances of blood flow and subsequent changes in the endocardium (Bruce, 2002). Prior valvular endocardial damage is one of the most significant factors increasing the chances of endocardial infection (Anderson, 1984). Dogs with congenital heart abnormalities are at a higher risk of developing valvular BE, because altered blood flow favors endocardial trauma (Kasari and Roussel, 1089). Approximately 25% of dogs with BE have some form of congenital heart disease (Calvert, 1982; Kasari and Roussel, 1989). Still, many dogs with valvular BE have no prior history of valvular disease or congenital heart defect (Calvert, 1982). In these cases, BE may be caused by bacteria, such as S. aureus or β-hemolytic streptococci, that produce -proteases that damage endothelial surfaces, subsequently exposing the subendothelial matrix (Sisson and Thomas, 1986). Corticosteroid administration has also been known to be a very common predisposing factor. It may be that the immunosuppressive effect of such drugs encourages bacteremia that precludes infective endocarditis. Infection with potentially immunosuppressive organisms (e.g., Bartonella sp., Ehrlichia sp.) appears to enhance the risk of endocarditis in dogs. (Bruce, 2002).

Many cases of endocarditis appear to have a nosocomial origin. Infected intravenous catheters, prosthetic heart valves, openheart surgery and interventional cardiac catheterization (e.g., aortic balloon valvuloplasty) all appear to enhance the risk of endocarditis in dogs. There is actually little evidence that periodontal disease is a frequent source of infective endocarditis in dogs. This is in contrast to humans. Other predisposing factors for endocarditis in dogs include other chronic sources of bacteremia (e.g., urinary tract infection, diskospondylitis) or systemic illness that facilitates bacterial infection (e.g., diabetes mellitus, Cushing’s disease). (Bruce, 2002). Interestingly, chronic valvar heart disease (endocardiosis) does not appear to predispose to infective endocarditis.

**CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

Clinical signs observed in cases of bacterial endocarditis are not limited to cardiac disease and such may result from sepsis, septic embolization and immune mediated complications. Clinical signs associated with septic embolization may vary depending on the location of the embolization, the degree of vascular obstruction and the
A cardiac murmur is a common finding (Cynthia et al., 2010). Discovering a new heart murmur in a patient that is febrile is the classic finding to make one suspicious of IE. Of course, most clinicians realize that classic findings usually do not occur in most cases (Mark, 2013). A systolic heart murmur is the most common (Mark, 2013). The murmur associated with mitral valve endocarditis is that of mitral regurgitation. If the murmur is grade III or louder and can be documented to be new and the patient is febrile, IE must be a primary differential diagnosis. Being certain that a systolic heart murmur has only occurred recently, however, is often difficult (Mark, 2013). A loud heart murmur in a young dog with a fever examined previously by a veterinarian, however, should be considered a new murmur until proven otherwise. A loud systolic murmur in a small breed, geriatric dog (even one with a fever) is most commonly due to myxomatous mitral valve degeneration, not to IE (Mark, 2013). A soft systolic murmur in large dog can also be due to IE but can also occur with numerous other cardiovascular lesions or increased stroke volume secondary to fever.

In dogs with aortic valve endocarditis, a diastolic heart murmur (which is difficult to identify) due to aortic regurgitation is commonly present (Mark, 2013). The murmur is heard with maximal intensity over the left cardiac base (Cynthia et al., 2010). It is blowing in character. It starts immediately after the second heart sound and decreases in intensity through diastole. Infective endocarditis is by far the most common cause of an audible diastolic heart murmur secondary to aortic regurgitation in the dog and cat (Mark, 2013).

A soft systolic heart murmur caused by increased stroke volume may also be observed. In this situation, a bounding arterial pulse is noted due to increased pulse pressure caused by diastolic run-off and increased stroke volume (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Lesions of bacterial endocarditis are usually located in those areas where high pressure and velocity gradients exist such as are found in both the mitral and aortic valves (Brown, 2004). One of the most significant factors for the development of endocardial infection is a prior valvular damage (Anderson and Dubielzig, 1984, Kasari and Roussel, 1989). High velocity regurgitant vortices and jets of blood from turbulent blood flow may damage the endocardium mechanically (Kasari and Roussel, 1989, Woodfield and Sisson, 1989). The resultant exposure of sub-endocardial collagen activates the aggregation of platelets and the cascade of events that are involved in coagulation and bacteria are able to adhere to the platelet fibrin matrix (Brown, 2004). Animals that have a congenital cardiac defect are more likely to develop bacterial endocarditis because altered blood flow favours endocardial trauma (Kasari and Roussel, 1989). It has been reported that about 25% of dogs with bacterial endocarditis have some form of congenital heart disease (Calvert, 1982, Kasari and Roussel, 1989). However, bacteria like Staphylococcus aureus and β-haemolytic streptococci which produce proteases that are capable of damaging endothelial surfaces and subsequently exposing the subendothelial matrix (Sisson and Thomas, 1986). Once bacterial endocarditis has been established, resolution is difficult because the bacterial colonies become tightly enmeshed in an avascular network of platelets and fibrin that host humoral factors and blood phagocytes cannot traverse (Brown, 2004). The rate of propagation of the vegetative lesion varies with the virulence of the infecting organism. Highly virulent organisms cause rapid necrosis.
of the valvular stroma and may even perforate the valve (Woodfield and Sisson, 1989). Conversely, organisms of lower virulence damage the valvular stroma to a lesser degree and produce a slowly enlarging vegetative lesion that evolves over weeks to months (Kittleson and Kienle, 1998). Hyalinization or calcification of the vegetative lesion may be observed in older lesions (Kasari and Roussel, 1989, Woodfield and Sisson, 1989). There could be perforation, tearing or valvular leaflet distortion due to vegetative lesions which alter valvular leaflet coaptation and cause valvular insufficiency. If the regurgitant blood flow is small and develops slowly, the heart can adapt through compensatory measures. If severe regurgitation is however present, the heart cannot compensate and congestive heart failure develops (Brown, 2004).

TREATMENT
The goal of therapy is to control clinical signs of congestive heart failure, resolve any significant arrhythmias, sterilize the lesion, and eliminate the spread of infection. (Cynthia et al., 2010) Ideally, the choice of antibiotic therapy should be based on culture of the offending organism from blood and on identifying an antibiotic to which the organism is sensitive. Before antibiotic therapy is instituted, blood culture should be carried out on every patient suspected of having IE (Mark, 2013). Parenteral antibiotics are indicated initially for 1–2 wk. This uneconomical start is followed by oral antibiotics for at least 6–8 wk. (Cynthia et al., 2010) Initial broad-spectrum bactericidal antibiotics (a combination of ampicillin plus gentamicin or enrofloxacin, or cephalothin plus gentamicin) should be used and changed, if antibiotic sensitivity studies indicate. (Cynthia et al., 2010) Renal function should be monitored when gentamicin is used because it is nephrotoxic. Antibiotics selected to treat a patient with IE must be bactericidal because bacteria are growing slowly within the vegetations and the vegetations prevent leukocytes from phagocytizing cells. Consequently, a bacteriostatic agent will not successfully sterilize the vegetation (Mark, 2013). Antibiotic prophylaxis is indicated in dogs with subaortic stenosis when any type of procedure that can result in significant bacteremia is performed (Cynthia et al., 2010). Routine dental prophylaxis is not warranted with other types of cardiac disease and especially not in dogs with myxomatous mitral valve degeneration; because there is no evidence that these dogs are at increased risk of infective endocarditis (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Dogs that respond to antibiotic therapy often require long term cardiac medications for heart failure and frequent reevaluations (Cynthia et al., 2010). The choice of cardioactive agents is guided by radiographic and echocardiographic findings (Larry and John, 2001). Controlling heart failure often requires the use of diuretics such as furosemide, an ACE inhibitor and when myocardial failure is present, pimobendan (Cynthia et al., 2010). In addition, a more potent arteriolar dilator, such as hydralazine, can be very beneficial in a patient that has acute, severe pulmonary edema or that is refractory to the other drugs (Mark, 2013). Hydralazine reduces peripheral vascular resistance and so reduces the amount of regurgitation in both aortic and mitral regurgitation (Mark, 2013). This results in decreased diastolic intracardiac pressures and a rapid reduction in pulmonary edema formation (Mark, 2013). Care must be taken not to produce profound hypotension when administering hydralazine along with an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor. This complication can be prevented by monitoring.

Corticosteroid administration is contraindicated IE patients (Mark, 2013). Its use may result in exacerbations of the clinical signs and worsening of the prognosis (Mark, 2013). Moreover, Patients with occult IE will usually develop clinical signs rapidly following corticosteroid administration (Mark, 2013).

PROGNOSIS
The prognosis for dogs with active IE is poor. By the time of diagnosis, most cases of IE have reached such an extent that there is irreversible damage to the valve (Larry and John, 2001). In one study, of 45 dogs proven to have IE either by fulfillment of clinical diagnostic criteria or by necropsy, only 20% survived (Mark, 2013). Congestive heart failure, common sequelae of IE, may be severe and intractable if the aortic valve is significantly involved; the prognosis is grave in these cases (Cynthia et al., 2010). The prognosis is much more favorable when infection is mild and limited to one of the AV valves (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Severe left heart failure commonly develops in dogs with large aortic valve lesions which is fatal (Mark, 2013). Dogs with severe mitral valve endocarditis may follow a similar course but generally have a better prognosis, depending on the severity of regurgitation. (Mark, 2013). No definitive treatment for valve destruction such as prosthetic valve replacement exists in veterinary medicine. Consequently, once severe regurgitation is produced heart failure and death are ultimately the expected result (Mark, 2013).

Renal infarction and failure are embolic complications that may result in death of IE patients (Mark, 2013).

DIAGNOSIS
Clinical signs and abnormal heart sounds may help to suggest IE. A Complete Blood Count often shows a neutrophilic leukocytosis (Cynthia et al., 2010). Active infection may be associated with the presence of band neutrophils, and up to 90% chronic infection with a monocytosis (Cynthia et al., 2010). Anemia of chronic disease is frequently present (Cynthia et al., 2010). Serum analysis may reveal abnormalities reflecting organ involvement secondary to infective emboli and may include increases in liver enzymes, BUN, and creatinine. In animals that develop immune complex
glomerulonephritis, significant urinary protein loss and hypoalbuminemia may develop (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Blood cultures must be obtained to try to identify the offending organism and subsequently to identify the appropriate antibiotic in patients with IE. Critically ill animals frequently develop sepsis and have positive blood culture results. Dogs with discospondylitis can have clinical signs that closely mimic IE (Mark, 2013).

To increase the chance of successful identification of bacteremia through the use of blood cultures in a patient with IE, more than one blood culture should always be taken (Mark, 2013). Preferably the patient should not be on antibiotic therapy at the time of culture but positive blood cultures can still be obtained and so antibiotic therapy is not an absolute contraindication (Mark, 2013). It is preferable to draw 2 or 3 blood samples, each 1–2 hr apart, in a 24-hr period (Cynthia et al., 2010). If the patient has already been administered antibiotics, three more cultures can be taken over the following week. Strict aseptic blood collection procedures should be followed (Cynthia et al., 2010). Blood cultures are never used definitively to make a diagnosis of IE due to lack of specificity as blood cultures are also positive in other diseases. In one study, of 165 dogs with positive blood cultures, only 45 were diagnosed as having IE (Mark, 2013). Cultures should be incubated for at least three weeks and Gram stains should be made at intervals, even if no growth is apparent (Mark, 2013).

Radiographic findings in IE are variable (Larry and John, 2001). Radiography may demonstrate cardiac chamber enlargement, depending on the location and degree of insufficiency of the involved valve (Cynthia et al., 2010). If the aortic or mitral valve is severely affected, there will be left atrial and left ventricular chamber dilatation (Cynthia et al., 2010). Evidence of left heart failure may be seen as an increase in interstitial density or, in severe Congestive heart failure, an alveolar pattern in the pulmonary parenchyma. If the tricuspid or pulmonic valve is affected, right-sided chamber enlargement is expected (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Echocardiography is the diagnostic test of choice because findings using this technique are distinctive (Larry and John, 2001). It is however not 100% sensitive or specific (Mark, 2013). Besides identifying vegetations, dogs with destructive lesions of their valves but without vegetations can be diagnosed with IE based on the presence of a regurgitant lesion and the echocardiographic appearance of the valve, especially when the aortic valve is involved (Mark, 2013). The affected valve is usually easily detected—the involved area is hyperechoic (bright), thickened, and often vegetative (ie, looks like a cauliflower). Erosive lesions may predominate in some animals (Cynthia et al., 2010). Doppler echocardiography will confirm insufficiency of the valve, and chamber enlargement on the side of the affected valve is expected when significant insufficiency is present (Cynthia et al., 2010).

Electrocardiographic findings in IE are not diagnostic (Larry and John, 2001). Electrocardiography may demonstrate atrial and ventricular premature complexes (Cynthia et al., 2010). Ventricular tachyarrhythmias, including ventricular tachycardia, are relatively common, and supraventricular tachyarrhythmias including atrial fibrillation, are also observed (Larry and John, 2001). The height of the R wave may be increased (suggestive of left ventricular enlargement) and the width of the P wave increased (suggestive of left atrial enlargement) (Cynthia et al., 2010).
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